ICAF 2023 – the 38th Conference and 31st Symposium of the International Committee on Aeronautical Fatigue and Structural

Integrity

STRUCTURAL INTEGRITY IN A WORLD IN TRANSITION

Distribution Statement A: Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited. Case No. 75ABW-2021-0042



Tools and Methods for Landing Gear Fatigue Analysis with Surface Treatment Effects

31ST SYMPOSIUM OF ICAF, 26–29 JUNE 2023, DELFT, NETHERLANDS

International Committee on Aeronautical Fatigue and Structural Integrity

Rob Plaskitt¹, Michelle Hill¹, Andrew Halfpenny¹, Ben Griffiths², Andrew Clark³, Ben Madsen⁴

Rob Plaskitt : rob.plaskitt@hbkworld.com

Michelle Hill : michelle.hill@hbkworld.com



¹ Hottinger Bruel & Kjaer Ltd, UK ² Select Engineering Services US

- ² Select Engineering Services, USA
- ³ USAF Landing Gear Systems, USA
- ⁴ General Atomics Systems Integration, USA

Contributing Organisations

1. United States Air Force

- Supply Chain Management.
- Landing Gear Systems.
- Requirements / End Customer / End User.

2. HOTTINGER BRÜEL & KJÆR

- Sensors and measurement systems.
- Software for durability and reliability.
- Methods development and mechanical testing for fatigue performance characterisation.

3. Select Engineering Services

- Engineering and technical services and products to US DoD.
- Test programme management and fatigue analysis integration tools development.

4. General Atomics

• Systems Integration.





HOTTINGER BRÜEL & KJÆR







Introduction

In 2022, in the USA the "Air Force Times" reported:

"The USAF service's aircraft now average 29 years old; ... When planes get old, they inevitably need more maintenance — on a day-to-day basis or as part of more intensive overhauls that extend an aircraft's life ..."

- Protective coatings and processes (surface treatments) are applied to landing gear components to control their dimensionality and to protect their structural integrity.
- These surface treatments affect surface material properties and multiple coatings can be applied, stripped and re-applied during overhaul.
- These surface treatments have known detrimental effects on fatigue life but were not considered in legacy USAF design.



Agenda

1. USAF Landing Gear Systems

- Basic LG Design Issues
- What is Considered in Landing Gear Repair and Design?
- Contemporary Landing Gear Analysis
- Landing Gear Systems
- Advances in Safe Life Analysis
- 2. HBK Advanced Materials Characterisation & Testing
- 3. Select Engineering Services







Basic LG Design Issues



- Safe Life Design
 - Design 4 Lives / Test 2 Lives / Fly 1 Life
- Common Failure Modes of LG Materials
 - General Corrosion
 - Grinding Burns
 - Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC)
 - Hydrogen Embrittlement (HE)
- Protective Coatings & Processes
 - Coatings Protect Against Corrosion
 - Process Controlled: Reduce Risk HE & Grinding Burns





What is Considered in Landing Gear Repair and Design?



Static Strength

- Ultimate and Limit Stresses
- Standard monotonic material properties
- IG Residual Stresses
 - Stress Corrosion Cracking Material Thresholds

Miners Fatigue Damage Accumulation

- Historically used stress life fatigue
- Now strain life based fatigue
- Can be problematic if sequencing is not considered

Contemporary Landing Gear Analysis





Advances in Safe Life Analysis



Agenda

1. USAF Landing Gear Systems

2. HBK Advanced Materials Characterisation & Testing

- Application of K_{sur}
- FEM Based Fatigue Analysis
- Air Force Integration
- 3. Select Engineering Services





Application of *K*_{sur}

- 1. Test & characterise baseline curve
 - 25 point curve, Strain-control, fully-reversed (R=-1)
 - High & low-cycle fatigue
- 2. Test under surface condition
 - 12 point curve, Strain-control, fully-reversed
 - High-cycle fatigue only

3. Calculate K_{sur}

- Surface treatment effect quantified by a single parameter instead of deriving a new/independent 5-parameter curve
- Reduced number of tests required to statistically characterize the surface treatment
- Easier statistical comparisons
- Compare different surface treatments on the same material
- Compare same surface treatments on different materials
- Sequentially combine surface treatment effects*
- Assumes that surface treatment effects are greater at higher cycles



Application of *K*_{sur}

Application of K_{sur}

 \checkmark Adjusting the Elastic Line, b'



Scaling the Applied Strain using Neuber



FEM Based fatigue analysis

- Incode DesignLife Example Results
- Same applied loads for all analysis cases
- K factor derived from collected test data
- Additional analysis has included landing gear FEA model from actual USAF aircraft

Baseline Curve Only *(No K_{sur} Applied)* 50% Certainty of Survival / 50% Confidence



K_{sur} Applied to Baseline Curve 50% Certainty of Survival / 50% Confidence



Expected Life	Comparative units
Raw material	214
Surface effects	1.9
Design curve	0.7

K_{sur} Applied to Design Curve 97.7% Certainty of Survival / 95% Confidence





Air Force Integration

- Principal project outcome:
 "increased fidelity in USAF landing gear fatigue models"
 - MAPA Tool
 - K factor database with streamlined nCode user interface
 - Knowledgebase of fatigue analysis curves, data sets, methods, assumptions, etc.
- Mitigate landing gear life extension risk
- Improve decisions for removal of landing gear components from service
- Potential improvements to repetitive overhaul processes

- Current Testing Status
 - ✓ Baseline ε-N curves (polished specimens) for 3 materials
 - ✓ 9 batches of surface treated specimens tested
 - Funding secured to test several additional surface treatments
- MAPA Tool development (K factors, user interface, knowledgebase)
- Demonstrate K factors in USAF landing gear fatigue models



Agenda

1. USAF Landing Gear Systems

2. HBK Advanced Materials Characterisation & Testing

3. Select Engineering Services

- Project Background and Significance
- Tested Results Chrome on Steel
- MAPA Material Assessment and Predictive Analysis
- MAPA Data Manager
- MAPA Simulation Manager





PROJECT BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE

- Landing Gear Surface Treatments
 - Affected Surface Material Properties
 - Microstructure
 - Roughness

http://www.nationalbronze.com/News/nickel-aluminum-bronze-for-landing-gear-bushings/ https://www.danobatgrinding.com/en/aerospace-landing-gear-struts-machining

- Residual Stresses
- Coatings Stripped/Re-Applied
 - May be repeated several times
 - Indeterminate number of overhauls
 - Multiple coating options and specs
- Known Detrimental Effects

https://www.wheelabratorgroup.com/en-us/my-application/application-by-industry/aerospace/landing-gear-preparatior

Not considered in legacy USAF design







Select Engineering S





Grinding²

Distribution Statement A: Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.

Shot Peening³

PROJECT BACKGROUND AND SIGNIFICANCE

Select Engineering

- Surface Treatment Procedures
 - Based on Military Standards
 - USAF dictates specific landing gear overhaul parameters
 - Substantial number of variables to control/consider







- Chrome Type I (plated to size)
 - Substantial fatigue life reduction
 - Shot peen effective in restoring fatigue performance (not shown in plot)
- Chrome Type II (ground to size)
 - Significant fatigue life reduction (less detrimental than Type I)
 - Shot peen effective in restoring fatigue performance (not shown in plot)
 - Same plating thickness as Electrolytic Nickel specimens
- Chrome Type II Strip/Re-Plate at Half-Life
 - Tested with shot peen only
 - No significant fatigue performance reduction









MAPA DATA MANAGER



- Rapid comparisons of raw data and fitted curves
- Adjust curve fit designations and statistics
- Generate parameters for input to nCode
- Show/hide plots and print reports
- Directed user inputs for raw fatigue data





MAPA SIMULATION MANAGER

- Generate node group files in ANSYS for input to nCode
 - Allows use of solid model geometry selection tools in ANSYS
- Automate material property assignment and bill of materials
- Export configuration/material assignments for use in nCode

		Simulation	Manager			Save & Exit		
Project Name	Demo	Delete Project			Material Assessment & Predictive An			
FE Model	LG_Piston	▼ Edit FE Model	Mate	erial 300M				
Node Group	(full model view)	•	Surface Ti	reatment E-Ni Pe	en	Assign		
			Node	e Group	Material and Treatment	t Ksur		
Bushing_Bo	ores 👘		BUSHING_BORE	S	300M E-Ni No Peen	0.5000		
Piston OD			PISTON_OD	IOUNTS	300M Chrome Peen 300M E-Ni No Peen	0.9000		-
			AXLE_HOUSING	1001110	300M E-Ni No Peen	SLOPPOSLAN'S	★⇒★∰ \$ 刻⊻ ▲ ← → ← →	
Axle_Flange	e_Mounts		AXLE_HOUSING	_ID	300M E-Ni Peen	Mount: LL,Proce, Ange,FEA,Resulture: Nexults: Character/Mitistation: Programming Services (2012) No. 1041 LL	Tengan - Saucement Prese Hild Kiden Son Venager Tutaratin Gale Inputs C, Pater Jengs, PS, Smolt, Dens Ite	
Axle_Housi	ng_ID					1-4505361175064105440		
	00							
Axie_110031	ing in the second se	MAPA Simulation Manager			×			
			1	-				
		Simulation Confi		SES _	Simulation Progress		×	-
		Project Name Demo			Pun Statistics			
		FE Model I G Piston			Run: 1 of 1			
		Tanut Files	V Material Accession		At a Predictive Analysis Tool Analysis Definition: Entity: 1534 of 8939	tion: 1 of 1 8939		
		FEA Results LG Piston	Ansys FEA Results.rst	Browse	Estimated Tim	e to Complete Current Analysis Defini	ition: 0 h 0 m 3 s	
				Browse	Run			
		Input Loads LG Piston	Fatique Loads s3t					
		Input Loads LG_Piston_	Fatigue_Loads.s3t	View	w Results		NEN	
		Input Loads LG_Piston_ nCode Flow LG_Piston_	Fatigue_Loads.s3t Demo.flo	Browse	w Results		SES of	
		Input Loads LG_Piston_ nCode Flow LG_Piston_	Fatigue_Loads.s3t Demo.flo	Browse	W Results	IAPA neet & Devidence - Analysis Start	SES	
	7	Input Loads LG_Piston_ nCode Flow LG_Piston_	Fatigue_Loads.s3t	Browse	W Results	LAPA		

Conclusions

- Surface treatment effects have been tested and quantified for multiple material and surface treatment combinations.
- These have shown that the surface treatment factor, K_{sur} method, can be successfully applied with the strain-life fatigue method.
- For USAF, the positive outcomes and conclusions include:
 - 1. Increased confidence in life extension for aging aircraft landing gear components.
 - 2. The timely removal of landing gear components from service to decrease the risk of failure.
 - 3. The potential for improvements to repetitive overhaul processes that will reduce their negative impact on fatigue life.



References

- 1. United States Air Force
 - Clark, A. (2021), An introduction to the requirements for safe-life of landing gear for aging aircraft through overhaul and maintenance processes,



https://www.hbkworld.com/en/knowledge/events/technology-days/2021-hbk-technology-days

- 2. Select Engineering Services
 - Griffiths, B. (2021), Tools and methods for landing gear fatigue analysis with surface treatment effects, https://www.hbkworld.com/en/knowledge/events/technology-days/2021-hbk-technology-days
- 3. HOTTINGER BRÜEL & KJÆR
 - Halfpenny, A. (2021), Surface treatment effects in fatigue analysis of landing gear materials, https://e-i-s.org.uk/fatigue-2021



Select Engineering Services

- 4. ASIP 2019 Proceedings paper and presentation
 - Clark, Griffiths, Halfpenny, et al
 - http://www.arctosmeetings.com/agenda/asip/2019/agenda.html





Thank You

Rob Plaskitt, HBK rob.plaskitt@hbkworld.com

Michelle Hill, HBK michelle.hill@hbkworld.com

Andrew Halfpenny, HBK andrew.halfpenny@hbkworld.com Ben Griffiths, Select Engineering Services

Ben Madsen, General Atomic System Integration

Andrew Clark, USAF





In 2022, in the USA the "Air Force Times" reported: *"The USAF service's aircraft now average 29 years old; … When planes get old, they inevitably need more maintenance on a day-to-day basis or as part of more intensive overhauls* that extend an aircraft's life …"

Surface treatments have known detrimental effects on fatigue life but were not considered in legacy USAF design, this work is characterising those detrimental effects ...







Distribution Statement A: Approved for public release, distribution is unlimited.

Questions